



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,388	12/20/2001	Takehito Tsukamoto	1186.1022	5279

21171 7590 06/18/2003

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
700 11TH STREET, NW
SUITE 500
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

DINII, TUAN T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2827	

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/022,388	TSUKAMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan T Dinh	2827

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

sposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-10 and 13-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,6,7,11 and 12 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 . 6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, Specie I (claims 1-12, figure 3) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 8-10 are not read on figure 3, so that claims 8-10 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 6, and 11-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taguchi et al (JP 09-281,352, submitted by applicant, and hereafter JP).

As to claims 1, and 11-12, JP discloses an optical-electrical wiring board as shown in figures 1-8, comprising

an electrical wiring board (43-figure 8) having a through-hole formed therein, and an optical wiring layer (11, see figures 1-3) laminated on said electrical wiring board and

including a core (12) through which the light is propagated and a clad surrounding said core, wherein:

 said electrical wiring board includes an electrical wiring (17-figure 3) formed on a first surface, and mounting means for mounting an optical part (49-figures 7-8), said mounting means being electrically connected to said electric wiring and mounted in the vicinity of said through-hole of said first surface, and

 said core includes a first waveguide (16) for propagating the light in a first direction along said electrical wiring board and a second waveguide (16a') for propagating the light in a second direction perpendicular to said electrical wiring board, said second waveguide crossing the first waveguide and a part of said second waveguide being arranged within said through-hole.

As to claim 6, JP discloses the wiring board as shown in figures 1-8 comprising an electrical wiring board (13; 43) having a through-hole formed therein and an optical wiring layer (11; 41) laminated on said electrical wiring board, wherein:

 said electrical wiring board includes an electrical wiring (17) formed on the first surface, and mounting means for mounting an optical part (49), said mounting means being electrically connected to said electrical wiring and arranged in the vicinity of said through-hole of said first surface, and

 said optical wiring layer (11; 41) includes a core (12; 42) for propagating the light in a direction extending along said electrical wiring board, and a clad surrounding said core.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP ('352) in view of Mehlhorn et al. (U. S. Patent 6,285,808).

JP does not disclose said optical-electrical wiring board further comprises a mirror for reflecting the light propagated through said core.

Mehlhorn shows a circuit carrier in figures 1-2 comprising an optical layer (1) having a mirror (9, column 3, line 54).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have teaching's Mehlhorn to employ the wiring board of JP in order to provide a deflectable light through 90 degrees to the wiring board.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kato et al., and Hauer et al. disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD
June 16, 2003



